

# Modelling of gamma ray bursts and predictions for high-energy observations

Željka Bošnjak University of Zagreb - FER, Croatia

*in collaboration with : Frédéric Daigne Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, France* 

Simulating the evolution and emission of relativistic outflows - Paris, November 2019

# Sub-MeV emission



#### Ferm/GBM observations:

#### hard-to-soft evolution

hardness maximum preceding the peak of the intensity hardness-intensity correlation:  $E_{p,obs} \propto F(t)^{\kappa}$ ,  $\kappa \simeq 0.4-1.2$ energy-dependent pulse asymmetry: W(  $E_{obs}$ )  $\propto E_{obs}^{-a}$ 



# High energy emission



Deviation from the usual GRB spectral models: extra component

# Very high energy emission from GRBs

\* MAGIC slew to the direction of GRB 190114C (z=0.42) about 50 s after the trigger and detected > 300 GeV photons for the first 20 min with a significance > 20  $\sigma$ (*Mirzoyan 2019*)

\* HESS started to observe GRB 180720B (z=0.65) at about 10 hr after the burst and detected 100-440 GeV photons (Ruiz-Velasco 2019)

\* bright bursts: Eiso =  $3 \times 10^{53}$  erg and  $6 \times 10^{53}$  erg (Hamburg et al 2019; Frederiks et al. 2018)

\* both GRBs have very high X-ray afterglow

#### **GRB 190114C**



MAGIC collaboration, 2019

### **Observed spectra and time profiles**



## **Spectral properties**

4-parameters "Band spectrum"  $E_{P}, \alpha, \beta$  and normalization Band et al. 1993





Kaneko et al. 2006

# **Spectral properties**



Inverse Compton scatterings in Klein-Nishina regime have an impact on the synchrotron slope

#### High energy emission: light curves

Bosnjak & Daigne 2014 GBM 260 keV - 5 MeV Constant Case A 8.0 անուլուլու 0.6 Case B= 0.4 0.2 Photon flux [ph/cm<sup>2</sup>/s] 0 8.0 'Sharp' initial Lorentz factor: rying 'ج Case A Varying 0.6 0.4 0.2 Case B t=0 s 500 0 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 400 Sharp initial Lorentz factor Case B ; constant ζ Case B ; varying ζ 300 F-200 100 0 0.8 0.6 0 Constant ejected mass flux Case B ; constant ζ Case B ; varying ζ Constant ejected mass flux: 0.4 0.2 dE/dt 🗙 Γ 0 8 2 3 6 7 5 1 4  $t_{obs} [s]$ t<sub>obs</sub> [s]

#### High energy emission: light curves



For the delayed arrival of >100 MeV photons in *magnetic jet model* see Bosnjak & Kumar 2012

#### Temporal profiles: >100 MeV range

Model: in LAT (>100 MeV) energy bands both components present, synchrotron + IC



#### Temporal profiles: >100 MeV range

Model: in LAT (>100 MeV) energy bands both components present, synchrotron + IC



#### Temporal profiles: >100 MeV range

Model: in LAT (>100 MeV) energy bands both components present, synchrotron + IC



Parameter space of internal shocks:

(1) mean LF in the outflow  $\overline{\Gamma}$ 

(2) contrast  $\kappa$  characterizing the amplitude of the variations in the initial distirbution of the LFs

- (3) injected kinetic power dE/dt
- (4) variability timescale  $\tau$

(5) fraction of the dissipated energy which is injected in the magnetic field  $\epsilon_{\rm B}$ 

(6) fraction of electrons that are accelerated  $\zeta$ 

Comoving frame parameters:

- (1) magnetic field B'
- (2) adiabatic cooling timescale t<sup>dyn</sup>
- (3) relativistic electron density ne
- (4) shape of the initial distribution of the LF of accelerated electrons

We consider the physical conditions in the shocked medium corresponding to an observer time tobs close to the peak: reference case ( $\Gamma$ min = 3600, ne = 1.3 x 10<sup>7</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>, B= 5200 G, tex = 170 s)

Q: how is the broad spectral shape affected by each of the parameters ( $\Gamma$ min, ne, B, tex)?



### Parameter space exploration: internal shock parameters



#### Palmerio et al., in preparation



# Parameter space of internal shocks:

(1)  $\log <\Gamma > : 1.5 \rightarrow 3$ (2)  $\log \kappa : 2.5 \rightarrow 10$ (3)  $dE/dt: 50 \rightarrow 54$ (4)  $\log \tau : -2 \rightarrow 2$ (5)  $\log \varepsilon_{B:} -5 \rightarrow -0.5$ (6)  $\log \zeta : -4 \rightarrow 0$ 

Comoving frame parameters:

(1) log B':  $1 \rightarrow 4$ (2) log t`<sub>dyn</sub>:  $0 \rightarrow 3$ (3) log n<sub>e</sub>:  $4 \rightarrow 10$ (4) log  $\Gamma_{min}: 1.5 \rightarrow 5$ 

#### Bosnjak, Daigne & Palmerio in preparation



- LAT detected GRBs are among the brightest detected by the GBM
- there are a few cases of bursts that were not particularly bright in the GBM, yet were detected by LAT (e.g. short GRBs 081024 and GRB 090531)



2<sup>nd</sup> Fermi LAT Catalog, 2019



Bosnjak, Daigne & Palmerio in preparation



Bosnjak, Daigne & Palmerio in preparation



# Prompt emission in GRB 190114C: contribution to early MAGIC observations



# Prompt emission in GRB 190114C: contribution to early MAGIC observations



We are using modeling tools to compute the GRB prompt emission from internal shocks in a time-dependent way in different spectral bands, including the highenergy gamma rays, to interpret new VHE observations and make predictions for future CTA observations

#### Further developments:

- the radiative code is currently updated accounting for the magnetic field evolution in the downstream region;
- the high energy emission will be estimated using the new assumption on the magnetic field