A visual journey into some Carter-Penrose diagrams

Alain Riazuelo

Institut d'astrophysique de Paris - CNRS / Sorbonne Université

Carterfest, 6 July 2022

So...

- Happy birthday Brandon !
- Fasten your seat belt
- Enjoy the trips

Part 1 : Minkowski



伺 ト イヨ ト イヨト

э

Minkowski has a rather simple causal structure.

Its aspect does not depend on observer's position, but does depend on observer's velocity $% \left({{{\left({{{{{{\bf{n}}}}} \right)}_{i}}}_{i}}} \right)$

Changing velocity will induce :

- Doppler shift
- Intensity shift
- Position shift (aberration)

Some example : lets us accelerate from 0 to 0.995 c in 200 seconds

Warning ! $a \sim 450\ 000\ g_{\rm E}$ (To compare with big formula 1/Indycar crash : 300 km/h to 0 in 2 meters $\rightarrow a \sim 214\ g_{\rm E}$ for 40 ms (Kenny Bräck, Texas Motor Speedway, 2003)



- A few things to remember :
 - fast space travel is boring : either you don't see anything, or you are blinded by intense flux
 - Doppler and intensity shifts are a nuisance. They will be attenued, sometimes completely removed in what follows

Part 2 : Schwarzschild, astrophysical



Alain Riazuelo A visual journey into some Carter-Penrose diagrams

- Adding a black hole in the picture causes gravitational distorsions, which shall add to the special relativistic (Minkowskian) effects.
- These effects are increasing confusing as you get closer and closer to the black hole
- In particular it is hard to tell by eye whether you are inside or outside thz black hole (because of aberration)



Alain Riazuelo A visual journey into some Carter-Penrose diagrams

Part 3 : Schwarzschild, analytical extension



- The whole analytic extension of the metric is more symmetric than its astrophysical counterpart.
- Contrarily to the common claim, horizon crossing is accompanied with observable effets.



• The non static nature of black hole interor becomes more obvious

Part 4 : Reissner-Nordtröm



э

Many new features arise in the RN case :

- Singularity is timelike. It is a point that cannot be reached by almost any geodesic : il is invisible !
- There is not endpoint to any geodesic : if you cross the horizon, you'll cross it back and exit somewhere else. This is no longer a black hole, but a wormhole (or a naked singularity).
- There are some bounded null geodesics. If you assume that photons arise only from past null infinities, then you may cross dark regions.



Alain Riazuelo A visual journey into some Carter-Penrose diagrams

Part 5 : Kerr



3.⊁ 3

- Even the exterior of the Kerr metric has some hidden surprises.
- We all know of the elongeted, asymmetric shape of an extremal Kerr BH seen from the equatorial plane and from a large distance :



• But what happens if you look at it from close distance?



r = 6M



r = 1.5M



r = 1.2M

*部・*注・*注・ 注



r = 1.1M

御下 不足下 不足下



r = 1.05M

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …



r = 1.02M

▲部 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ …



r = 1.01M



r = 1.005M

・部・・モ・・モ・ 王



r = 1.002M

Alain Riazuelo A visual journey into some Carter-Penrose diagrams

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …



r = 1.001M



r = 1.0005 M, zoomed 50° field of view

◆ロ > ◆母 > ◆ 臣 > ◆ 臣 > ● の < ()

This was funny, but it was a continuation of Bardeen's work, not yours. Yours dealt (among other things) with causal structure and ring singularity.

The ring singularity, serious presentation



4 E b

- A - B - M

The ring singularity, not-so-serious-but-still-accurate presentation







Alain Riazuelo A visual journey into some Carter-Penrose diagrams

< 47 ▶

- Warning ! Playing with the maximal analytic extension of the Kerr metric is tricky ! There are many issues regarding coordinate systems.
- A natural coordinate system is the Boyer-Lindquist $one(t, r, \theta, \varphi)$
- It work outside the Kerr BH/wormhole, but NOT at horizon!
- When you cross the horizon, you need to switch to ONE OF the Kerr-Schild coordinate systems (*T*, *r*, θ, φ̃)

$$\begin{split} \dot{T} &\equiv \dot{t} + \epsilon \frac{2Mr}{\Delta} \dot{r}, \\ \dot{\tilde{\varphi}} &\equiv \dot{\varphi} + \epsilon \frac{a}{\Delta} \dot{r}, \\ \Delta &= r^2 - 2Mr + a^2, \\ \epsilon &= \pm 1. \end{split}$$



Outer horizon — Future null infinity — Past null infinity — Inner horizon

- In fact the choice of ε depends on whether the horizon you cross is parallel to the first or second bissector.
- Several types of null geodesics :
 - Flyby (either r > r_{hor} or r < 0)
 - Transit ($r = +\infty$ to $r = -\infty$ or vice-versa)
 - Crossing (cross outer then inner horizon, bounces and crosses back the two horizons)
 - Adventuous (same as above but turning point at r < 0)

イロト イポト イラト イラト

Bounded

Bounded null geodesics may intersect an observer's trajectory from r = 4M down to r = 0. Its overvall shape may vary : crescent-shaped, ring-shaped or disc-shaped. Exact sequence depends on one's $r(\theta)$.



ヨート



Bounded geodesics

御 と くきと くきとう



Bounded geodesics, continued

御 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

э



Bounded geodesics, continued

- Last but not least, we want (and we need) to cross the ring singularity.
- This is difficult because spheroidal Kerr-Schild coordinates are not regular at horizon crossing !
- We you cross the ring, you enter into a region where you flip the sign of *r*...
- But you also flip to orientation of the θ axis
- So θ is continuous at ring crossing, but $\dot{\theta}$ is not

This stuff is wrong ! ($\theta = 0$ should be either replaced by $\theta = \pi$ or put in the lower hemisphere)



So we need to switch to Cartesian Kerr-Schild coordinates (not fun)

伺 ト イヨ ト イヨト

$$\begin{aligned} x + iy &= (r + i\epsilon a)\sin\theta \,\exp(i\tilde{\varphi}), \\ z &= r\cos\theta \end{aligned}$$

 r^2 is defined implicitely as

$$r^4 - (x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - a^2)r^2 - a^2z^2$$

But this does not define r! There are in fact **eight** coordinate systems here :

- *r* > 0
- *r* < 0
- You cross the ring "from above" starting from r > 0, i.e. rz > 0
- You cross the ring "from below" starting from r > 0, i.e. rz < 0
- $\bullet\,$ You can choose ϵ as you like, this doubles the number

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x} + i\ddot{y} &= 4iMa\frac{r}{\Sigma^2}W\left[\dot{x} + i\dot{y} - \frac{x + iy}{r + i\epsilon a}\left\{\dot{r} - \epsilon a\sin^2\theta\dot{\varphi} + \left(\frac{4r^2}{\Sigma} - 3\right)\epsilon\frac{W^2 - \kappa}{4W}\right\}\right] \\ &- M(x + iy)\frac{r}{\Sigma^2}\left[\left(\frac{4r^2}{\Sigma} - 3\right)\kappa + \left(\frac{4r^2}{\Sigma} - 1\right)\frac{C - a^2W}{r(r + i\epsilon a)}\right], \\ \ddot{z} &= -Mz\frac{r}{\Sigma^2}\left[\left(\frac{4r^2}{\Sigma} - 3\right)\kappa + \left(\frac{4r^2}{\Sigma} - 1\right)\frac{C}{r^2}\right], \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\Sigma = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta,$$

$$W = \frac{C + \kappa r^2}{(r^2 + a^2)E - aL_z - \epsilon \Sigma \dot{r}}.$$

Ready? Let us now go on the grand journey ...