The 4PN phase of non-spinning compact binary systems: where are we ?

Quentin Henry Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris

In collaboration with : Tanguy Marchand, François Larrouturou, Sylvain Marsat, Guillaume Faye, Luc Blanchet





February 4th 2020

~		-
Quen	tin F	lenrv

GdR 2020

1) Introduction & overview of the PN formalism

2) What has been done

3) What is left to do

4) Summary and conclusion

Motivations for the 4PN waveform

- Needed for future detectors (e.g. LISA).
- More accurate determination of the astrophysical parameters (masses, spins).
- Comparison with numerical relativity and self-force calculations.
- Better comparison between GR and alternative theories of gravity.



Figure from LSC & Virgo, PRL 118(2018)221101.

The post-Newtonian formalism



PN formalism:

• Perturbative expansion of the equations of GR.

$$\Box h^{\mu\nu} = \frac{16\pi G}{c^4} \tau^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{with} \quad \tau^{\mu\nu} = |g|T^{\mu\nu} + \frac{c^4}{16\pi G} \Lambda^{\mu\nu}(h,\partial h,\partial^2 h)$$

• Weak field, small velocities : $(v/c) \ll 1$.

• 4^{th} PN order $\rightarrow O(1/c^8)$ beyond the quadrupole formula.

Different space zones in the PN formalism



The GW phase : what is known ?

PN parameter :
$$x \equiv \left(\frac{GM\omega}{c^3}\right)^{2/3}$$

Angular frequency : ω

$$\varphi = \int \omega \, \mathrm{d}t$$

$$\varphi = -\frac{1}{32\nu x^{5/2}} \left[1 + \varphi_{1\text{PN}}x + \varphi_{1.5\text{PN}}x^{3/2} + \varphi_{2\text{PN}}x^2 + \varphi_{2.5\text{PN}}x^{5/2} + \varphi_{3\text{PN}}x^3 + \varphi_{3.5\text{PN}}x^{7/2} + \varphi_{4\text{PN}}x^4 + O(x^{9/2}) \right]$$

The GW phase : what is known ?

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{1\text{PN}} &= \frac{3715}{1008} + \frac{55}{12}\nu\\ \varphi_{1.5\text{PN}} &= -10\pi\\ \varphi_{2\text{PN}} &= \frac{15293365}{1016064} + \frac{27145}{1008}\nu + \frac{3085}{144}\nu^2\\ \varphi_{2.5\text{PN}} &= \left(\frac{38645}{1344} - \frac{65}{16}\nu\right)\pi\ln(x)\\ \varphi_{3\text{PN}} &= \frac{12348611926451}{18776862720} - \frac{160}{3}\pi^2 - \frac{1712}{21}\gamma_{\text{E}} - \frac{3424}{21}\ln 2\\ &+ \left(-\frac{15737765635}{12192768} + \frac{2255}{48}\pi^2\right)\nu + \frac{76055}{6912}\nu^2 - \frac{127825}{5184}\nu^3\\ &- \frac{856}{21}\ln(x)\\ \varphi_{3.5\text{PN}} &= \left(\frac{77096675}{2032128} + \frac{378515}{12096}\nu - \frac{74045}{6048}\nu^2\right)\pi \end{split}$$

Quentin Henry

 $\varphi(\omega)$

 $\varphi(\omega)$ $\mathscr{F} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t}$

 $\varphi(\omega)$

$$\mathscr{F} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

$$\mathscr{F} = \frac{G}{c^5} \left[\frac{1}{5} \mathcal{U}_{ij}^{(1)} \mathcal{U}_{ij}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{1}{189} \mathcal{U}_{ijk}^{(2)} \mathcal{U}_{ijk}^{(2)} + \frac{16}{45} \mathcal{V}_{ij}^{(1)} \mathcal{V}_{ij}^{(1)} \right) + \dots \right]$$

 $arphi(\omega)$ $\mathscr{F} = -rac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t}$

$$\mathscr{F} = \frac{G}{c^5} \left[\frac{1}{5} \mathcal{U}_{ij}^{(1)} \mathcal{U}_{ij}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{1}{189} \mathcal{U}_{ijk}^{(2)} \mathcal{U}_{ijk}^{(2)} + \frac{16}{45} \mathcal{V}_{ij}^{(1)} \mathcal{V}_{ij}^{(1)} \right) + \dots \right]$$
$$\mathcal{U}_{ij} = \mathcal{I}_{ij}^{(2)} + (\text{non linear terms})$$

 $\varphi(\omega)$ $\mathscr{F} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t}$ $\mathscr{F} = \frac{G}{c^5} \left[\frac{1}{5} \mathrm{U}_{ij}^{(1)} \mathrm{U}_{ij}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{1}{189} \mathrm{U}_{ijk}^{(2)} \mathrm{U}_{ijk}^{(2)} + \frac{16}{45} \mathrm{V}_{ij}^{(1)} \mathrm{V}_{ij}^{(1)} \right) + \dots \right]$ $U_{ii} = I_{ii}^{(2)} + (\text{non linear terms})$ $\mathbf{I}_{ij} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \, \hat{x}^{ij} \left[\sigma - \frac{1}{\pi G c^2} \partial_k V \partial_k V + \dots \right]$

 $\varphi(\omega)$ $\mathscr{F} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t}$ $\mathscr{F} = \frac{G}{c^5} \left[\frac{1}{5} \mathrm{U}_{ij}^{(1)} \mathrm{U}_{ij}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{1}{189} \mathrm{U}_{ijk}^{(2)} \mathrm{U}_{ijk}^{(2)} + \frac{16}{45} \mathrm{V}_{ij}^{(1)} \mathrm{V}_{ij}^{(1)} \right) + \dots \right]$ $U_{ii} = I_{ii}^{(2)} + (\text{non linear terms})$ $\mathbf{I}_{ij} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \, \hat{x}^{ij} \left[\sigma - \frac{1}{\pi G c^2} \partial_k V \partial_k V + \dots \right]$ $\sigma = \rho + \dots, \qquad V = -4\pi G \Box^{-1} \sigma = \frac{Gm_1}{m_1} + \dots$

Outline

1) Introduction & overview of the PN formalism

2) What has been done

3) What is left to do

4) Summary and conclusion

Computation of the potentials

Sources:

$$\sigma = \frac{T^{00} + T^{ii}}{c^2}, \qquad \sigma_i = \frac{T^{0i}}{c}, \qquad \sigma_{ij} = T^{ij}$$

For point particles $\sigma \propto \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}_A)$.

Potentials:

The potentials fully parametrize the metric.

$$\Box V = -4\pi G\sigma$$

$$\Box \hat{W}_{ij} = -4\pi G(\sigma_{ij} - \delta_{ij}\sigma_{kk}) - \partial_i V \partial_j V$$

10/18

Computation of the potentials

Sources:

$$\sigma = \frac{T^{00} + T^{ii}}{c^2}, \qquad \sigma_i = \frac{T^{0i}}{c}, \qquad \sigma_{ij} = T^{ij}$$

For point particles $\sigma \propto \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}_A)$.

Potentials:

The potentials fully parametrize the metric.

$$\Box V = -4\pi G\sigma$$

$$\Box \hat{W}_{ij} = -4\pi G(\sigma_{ij} - \delta_{ij}\sigma_{kk}) - \partial_i V \partial_j V$$

Defining $\partial_{1i} \equiv \partial/\partial y_1^i$,
 $\partial_i V \partial_j V = G^2 m_1 m_2 \partial_{1i} \partial_{2j} \frac{1}{r_1 r_2} + \dots$
 \hookrightarrow Need to know how to compute $\Box^{-1} \frac{1}{r_1 r_2}$

Computation of the kernels and matching

$$\Box \mathscr{G} = \frac{1}{r_1 r_2}, \qquad \Box F^{12} = \frac{r_1}{2r_2} \qquad \dots$$

1) Find a particular solution.

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{G} &= g + \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 f + O\left(\frac{1}{c^4}\right) \\ \Delta g &= \frac{1}{r_1 r_2} \qquad \Delta f = g \end{split}$$



.

At Newtonian order, $g = \ln(r_1 + r_2 + r_{12})$.

11/18

Computation of the kernels and matching

$$\Box \mathscr{G} = \frac{1}{r_1 r_2}, \qquad \Box F^{12} = \frac{r_1}{2r_2} \qquad \dots$$

1) Find a particular solution.

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{G} &= g + \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 f + O\left(\frac{1}{c^4}\right) \\ \Delta g &= \frac{1}{r_1 r_2} \qquad \Delta f = g \end{split}$$

At Newtonian order, $g = \ln(r_1 + r_2 + r_{12})$.

2) Match it to the far-zone in order to have $\mathcal{M}(P) = P$. [Blanchet Living Review (2014)]

 \hookrightarrow Enables to compute some of the required potentials (5/9). \hookrightarrow Doesn't work for more complicated ones (no analytic formula).

11/18

Source multipole moments

$$\Sigma = \frac{\bar{\tau}^{00} + \bar{\tau}^{ii}}{c^2} \qquad \Sigma_i = \frac{\bar{\tau}^{0i}}{c} \qquad \Sigma_{ij} = \bar{\tau}^{ij}$$

 Σ , Σ_i and Σ_{ij} contain the σ , σ_i , σ_{ij} and the potentials $\{V, V_i, \hat{W}_{ij}, \dots\}^1$

Multipole moments : [Blanchet Living Review (2014)]

$$\mathbf{I}_{L} = \mathop{\mathrm{FP}}_{B=0} \int \mathrm{d}^{3}x \left(\frac{r}{r_{0}}\right)^{B} \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}z \left[\delta_{\ell} \hat{x}_{L} \Sigma + \frac{\alpha_{\ell}}{c^{2}} \hat{x}_{iL} \dot{\Sigma}_{i} + \frac{\beta_{\ell}}{c^{4}} \hat{x}_{ijL} \ddot{\Sigma}_{ij}\right] \left(x, u + \frac{zr}{c}\right)$$

Similar expression for the current multipoles J_L .

 \hookrightarrow Some potentials are not known in all space \Rightarrow IBP \Rightarrow surface terms.

$${}^{1}\tau^{\mu\nu} = |g|T^{\mu\nu} + \frac{c^{4}}{16\pi G}\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$$

Quentin Henry

The mass quadrupole

3 types of terms:²

compact support: 168 terms
$$(\sigma \propto \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}_A))$$

$$\int d^3x \, \hat{x}^{ij} \sigma V$$

• non-compact support: 419 terms

$$\int \mathrm{d}^3x \, r^B \hat{x}^{ij} \, \hat{W}_{ab} \partial_{ab} V$$

• surface: 67 terms

$$\int \mathrm{d}^3x \, r^B \hat{x}^{ij} \Delta(V^2)$$

 $^{^2\}mathrm{For}$ 4PN, 654 terms while "only" 92 for 3PN

The mass quadrupole

3 types of terms:²

• compact support: 168 terms $(\sigma \propto \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}_A))$ $\int d^3x \, \hat{x}^{ij} \sigma V$

- non-compact support: 419 terms
- surface: 67 terms $\int d^3x \, r^B \hat{x}^{ij} \, \hat{W}_{ab} \partial_{ab} V$ $\boxed{\partial_{ab} \left(\frac{1}{r_1}\right) \bigg|_{\text{Distr}} = -\frac{4\pi}{3} \delta_{ab} \, \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}_1)}{\int d^3x \, r^B \hat{x}^{ij} \Delta(V^2)}$

 \hookrightarrow Had to take into account distributional parts. We **completed** the integration of the mass quadrupole with the Hadamard regularisation.

 $^{^2 \}mathrm{For}~4 \mathrm{PN},\,654~\mathrm{terms}$ while "only" 92 for 3PN

The regularisation problems : UV and IR

 \hookrightarrow Formalism written using the Hadamard *Partie Finie* regularisation.

$$\mathbf{I}_{L} = \left[\frac{\mathrm{FP}}{B=0} \int \mathrm{d}^{3}x \left(\frac{r}{r_{0}} \right)^{B} \right] \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}z \left[\delta_{\ell} \hat{x}_{L} \Sigma + \frac{\alpha_{\ell}}{c^{2}} \hat{x}_{iL} \dot{\Sigma}_{i} + \frac{\beta_{\ell}}{c^{4}} \hat{x}_{ijL} \ddot{\Sigma}_{ij} \right] \left(x, u + \frac{zr}{c} \right)^{B} \right]$$

 \hookrightarrow Crucial to distinguish between UV (on the bodies) and IR (infinity) regularisations.

- UV : bodies modelled as point particles.
- IR : need for a regularisation at infinity in the formalism itself.
- \hookrightarrow But dimensional regularisation is more suitable at high order (3PN for UV and 4PN for IR)

$$\mathscr{D}I \equiv I^{(d)} - I^{(\mathrm{Had})}$$

[PRD **71**, 124004 (2005)]

 \hookrightarrow Now computing the UV differences on the mass quadrupole.

entin Henry	GdR 2020	February 4 th 2020	14 / 18

Outline

1) Introduction & overview of the PN formalism

2) What has been done

3) What is left to do

4) Summary and conclusion

Next steps to achieve the computation of the mass quadrupole

• Complete the computation of the UV regularised (in d-dim) I_{ij} .

• Compute the IR difference for the mass quadrupole.

• Reduce it into the CoM frame for circular orbits.

Next steps to achieve the computation of the 4PN phase

• Compute the other multipoles (using the same method), $3 \leq \ell \leq 6$

$$\mathbf{I}_{L} = \mathop{\mathrm{FP}}_{B=0} \int \mathrm{d}^{3}x \left(\frac{r}{r_{0}}\right)^{B} \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}z \left[\delta_{\ell} \hat{x}_{L} \Sigma + \frac{\alpha_{\ell}}{c^{2}} \hat{x}_{iL} \dot{\Sigma}_{i} + \frac{\beta_{\ell}}{c^{4}} \hat{x}_{ijL} \ddot{\Sigma}_{ij}\right] \left(x, u + \frac{zr}{c}\right)$$

• Compute the non-linear terms.

$$U_{ij} = I_{ij}^{(2)} + (\text{non linear terms})$$

- Compute the flux $\mathscr{F} = \frac{G}{c^5} \left[\frac{1}{5} \mathrm{U}_{ij}^{(1)} \mathrm{U}_{ij}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{1}{189} \mathrm{U}_{ijk}^{(2)} \mathrm{U}_{ijk}^{(2)} + \frac{16}{45} \mathrm{V}_{ij}^{(1)} \mathrm{V}_{ij}^{(1)} \right) + \dots \right].$
- Deduce the phase through the balance equation

$$\mathscr{F} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \varphi = -\int \omega(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}E/\mathrm{d}x}{\mathscr{F}(x)} \mathrm{d}x.$$

Summary

What has been done:

- We computed the potentials required for the 4PN multipoles.
- We computed the mass quadrupole in 3d.
- Now completing the computation the UV (dim-reg/Had) difference.

What is left to do for the mass quadrupole:

- Compute the IR (dim-reg/Had) difference.
- Reduce the mass quadrupole in the CoM frame for circular orbits.

What is left to do for the 4PN flux:

- Compute the other multipoles (much easier).
- Compute non-linear terms.
- Reduce these quantities in the CoM frame for circular orbits.
- Compute the flux.
- Deduce the phase.